

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



International Journal of Pharmaceutics 310 (2006) 72–80

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF **PHARMACEUTICS** 

www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpharm

# Air classifier technology (ACT) in dry powder inhalation Part 3. Design and development of an air classifier family for the Novolizer® multi-dose dry powder inhaler

A.H. de Boer<sup>a,∗</sup>, P. Hagedoorn<sup>a</sup>, D. Gjaltema<sup>a</sup>, J. Goede<sup>b</sup>, H.W. Frijlink<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Pharmaceutical Technology and Biopharmacy, University of Groningen, A. Deusinglaan 1, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands* <sup>b</sup> *Sofotec GmbH & Co. KG, Benzstrasse 1, 61352 Bad Homburg, Germany*

> Received 21 October 2005; received in revised form 7 November 2005; accepted 7 November 2005 Available online 25 January 2006

#### **Abstract**

In this study, the design of a multifarious classifier family for different applications is described. The main design and development steps are presented as well as some special techniques that have been applied to achieve preset objectives. It is shown by increasing the number of air supply channels to the classifier chamber (from 2 to 8), that the fine particle losses from adhesion onto the classifier walls can be reduced from 75% to less than 5% of the real dose for soft (spherical) agglomerates. By applying a bypass flow that is arranged as a co-axial sheath of clean air around the aerosol cloud from the classifier, the airflow resistance of the classifier can be controlled over a relatively wide range of values (0.023–0.041 kPa0.5 min l−1). This, without affecting the fine particle dose or increasing the fine particle losses in the inhaler. Moreover, the sheath flow can be modelled to reduce the depositions in the induction port to the cascade impactor or in the patient's mouth, which are the result of back flows in these regions. The principle of powder induced pressure drop reduction across a classifier enables assessment of the amount of powder in the classifier at any moment during inhalation, from which classifier loading (from the dose system) and discharge rates can be derived. This principle has been applied to study the residence time of a dose in the classifier as function of the carrier size fraction and the flow rate. It has been found that this residence time can be controlled in order to obtain an optimal balance between the generated fine particle fraction and the inhalation manoeuvre of the patient. A residence time between 0.5 and 2 s at  $60$  l/min is considered favourable, as this yields a high fine particle dose (depending on the type of formulation used) and leaves sufficient inhaled volume for particle transport into the deep lung. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Dry powder inhalation; Air classifier technology; Novolizer®; Adhesive mixtures; Carrier lactose; Spherical pellets

## **1. Introduction**

Developments in dry powder inhalation include the introduction of low dose drugs like formoterol fumarate [\(Pohunek](#page-8-0) [et al., 2004\),](#page-8-0) high dose drugs like antibiotics [\(Newhouse et al.,](#page-8-0) [2003; Crowther Labiris et al., 1999; Le Brun et al., 2002\),](#page-8-0) vaccines ([LiCalsi et al., 1999\)](#page-8-0) and systemically acting inhalation drugs ([Patton et al., 2004\).](#page-8-0) Achieving a high bioavailability and a constant therapy from inhalation of these drugs requires that the aerosol has a narrow aerodynamic particle size range, that the drug formulation is stable and that dose measuring is highly

reproducible. For deposition in the alveolar region, where the absorption area and permeability are both high [\(Patton, 1996\),](#page-8-0) the particles in the aerosol have to be preferably smaller than  $2 \mu m$  ([Corkery, 2000\),](#page-8-0) unless the inspiratory flow rate can be kept extremely low [\(Brand et al., 2000\).](#page-7-0)

The high demands on the performance of the administration systems for these new inhalation drugs have challenged researchers to develop new powder formulations and new devices. To improve dispersion during inhalation, specially engineered drug particles can be used (e.g. [Edwards et al., 1998;](#page-8-0) [Venthoye et al., 2001; Schiavone et al., 2004\).](#page-8-0) However, particle engineering techniques are often complex and expensive. An alternative way to improve powder de-agglomeration during inhalation is to design better inhaler devices. Different types of powder de-agglomeration forces can be used (e.g. [Amass,](#page-7-0) [1996; Voss and Finlay, 2002\)](#page-7-0) of which inertial forces are the

DOI of original article:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2005.11.029.

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 50 3633286; fax: +31 50 3632500. *E-mail address:* a.h.de.boer@farm.rug.nl (A.H. de Boer).

<sup>0378-5173/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2005.11.030

most effective ([de Boer et al., 2003a\).](#page-8-0) The effectiveness of inertial forces may depend on how these forces are generated and on the conditions under which these forces are applied however. It has been reported that impaction on a simple mesh screen does not yield high fine particle fractions ([Voss and Finlay,](#page-8-0) [2002\),](#page-8-0) whereas the de-agglomeration efficiency of a jet impinger appears to be highly dependent on the amount of powder to be dispersed ([Wang et al., 2004\).](#page-8-0) Breaking up agglomerates by powder impingement onto internal surfaces of the mouthpiece of an inhaler has for instance been applied in the Clickhaler ([Parry-Billings et al., 2000\)](#page-8-0) and SkyeHaler [\(Skyepharma, 2001\)](#page-8-0) reservoir dry powder inhalers (dpi's). Inertial forces by particle swirl are generated in the Airmax [\(Zeng et al., 2002\)](#page-8-0) and the Twisthaler [\(Yang et al., 2001\).](#page-8-0) Mentioned devices are all representatives of a new generation of reservoir inhalers. These, and former generation reservoir devices have different dose measuring and powder de-agglomeration principles, which may demand opposite requirements for the powder formulation. For reproducible dose measuring, good flow properties are necessary. These can be obtained by processing the drug into relatively large soft aggregates (e.g. Turbuhaler, [Wetterlin, 1988\),](#page-8-0) by using relatively large (surface treated) lactose carrier crystals in adhesive mixtures with the drug (e.g. Pulvinal, [Meakin et al., 1998;](#page-8-0) Taifun, [Harjunen et al., 2002\),](#page-8-0) or by compaction of drug and excipient into a ring tablet from which small quantities are grated during inhalation (e.g. MAGhaler, [Newman et al., 2002\).](#page-8-0) Further improvement of the consistency of metered dose can be achieved by instructing patients to shake the device before actuation (Easyhaler, [Silvasti et al., 1996\),](#page-8-0) by scraping the drug formulation into the dose measuring cavities (Turbuhaler) or by applying an air pulse to the powder in the drug reservoir (Airmax). In all these examples (except for the Jethaler), the powder formulation has to be stable enough to withstand the dose measuring procedures and the carrying around by the patient. For adhesive mixtures, obtaining sufficient stability may require that the carrier surface to mass ratio is increased, for instance by increasing the carrier surface roughness ([Staniforth, 1986\).](#page-8-0) Large carrier particles with a high degree of surface rugosity are in conflict with the conditions for good drug redispersion during inhalation however (e.g. [Louey et al., 2003; Flament et al.,](#page-8-0) [2004\).](#page-8-0)

Previously, air classifier technology has been introduced as a successful method to apply inertial de-agglomeration forces ([de Boer et al., 2003a\).](#page-8-0) It could be shown that the performance of an air classifier may be relatively independent of the carrier size fraction used ([de Boer et al., 2003b\).](#page-8-0) Results presented from several formulation studies so far have all been obtained with the same basic concept of a classifier (e.g. [de Boer et al.,](#page-8-0) [2004a, 2004b; Dickhoff et al., 2003, 2004\).](#page-8-0) This concept has been developed for basic powder interaction studies and does not meet the specifications of an ideal inhaler. The aim of this study is to tackle certain drawbacks and limitations of this basic classifier concept. This, to make the technology applicable for all types of powder formulations for inhalation, and to comply with preferences and requirements of patients and physicians regarding airflow resistance, consistency of delivered dose, fine particle dose, etc. Only the most relevant design improvements

and methods that have been used to achieve these improvements are presented in this paper. A family of different classifier concepts has been designed for the Viatris Novolizer® multi-dose dry powder inhaler for different types of formulations, which enables to achieve either a high fine particle fraction (fpf) from new drug formulations, or to adjust fpf to the performance of the originator product for generic formulations.

## **2. Materials and methods**

## *2.1. Materials*

Alpha lactose monohydrate carrier fractions of various size ranges were obtained by 20 min vibratory sieving (Analysette 3, Fritsch, Idar-Oberstein, Germany) followed by 20 min air jet sieving (A200, Alpine, Augsburg, Germany), using different Pharmatose products as starting material (DMV International, Veghel, The Netherlands). Micronised lactose fractions  $1-8$  and  $8-16 \mu m$  were also obtained from DMV International. Micronised budesonide with an  $X_{50}$  of 1.04  $\mu$ m ( $X_{10} = 0.54$ ;  $X_{90} = 2.15 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) and disodium cromoglycate (dscg) with an  $X_{50}$  of 1.73  $\mu$ m ( $X_{10} = 0.53$ ;  $X_{90} = 4.28 \mu$ m) were supplied by Sofotec (Frankfurt, Germany). An adhesive mixture of Capsulac 60 with 2% budesonide used for the experiments in [Figs. 6 and 8](#page-6-0) was also prepared by Sofotec.

#### *2.2. Methods*

The size distributions of drugs (with 100 mm lens) and lactose carrier fractions (with 200 and 500 mm lenses) were measured with laser diffraction technique (HELOS Compact model KA, Sympatec, Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany). A RODOS dry powder disperser was used at 0.5; 3 or 5 bar (depending on the product). All calculations were made with the Fraunhofer theory.

Soft spherical agglomerates of dscg were prepared by densifying and agglomerating the micronised drug in a stainless steel mixing container, using a tumbling mixer (Turbula T2C, WA Bachofen AG, Switzerland) at 90 rpm for 10 min. A small amount of large lactose crystals  $(180-300 \,\mu m)$  was added as pelletising aid. Next, lactose crystals and dscg pellets were separated over a  $350 \mu m$  sieve (by mild hand sieving) and the drug agglomerates were spheronised on a  $200 \mu m$  vibratory sieve (Fritsch Analysette 3, Fritsch GmbH, Germany) for 20 min. Finally, pellets larger than  $800 \mu m$  were removed (again by mild hand sieving), and the pellet fraction  $350-800 \mu m$  was used for experiments after it was checked that the pellets contained no lactose nuclei.

Cascade impactor analyses were performed with a multistage liquid impinger [\(Hallworth and Andrews, 1976\)](#page-8-0) with theoretical cutpoints for the second stage of  $12.35 \mu m$  at  $30 \frac{\mu m}{m}$ , and  $8.74 \,\mu m$  at 60 l/min, respectively (for spherical particles with a density of 1.5  $g/cm<sup>3</sup>$ ). Each analysis included five inhalations at a preset flow rate of 30 or 60 l/min. The dose was 3 mg for dscg pellets and 11 mg for adhesive mixtures with budesonide. All data presented are the mean of two analyses. The drug depositions on the different impactor stages were dissolved in 20 ml of liquid, <span id="page-2-0"></span>being ethanol (analytical grade) for budesonide and demineralised water for dscg. Also losses in the induction port, classifier and inhaler mouthpiece were analysed. Drug solutions from carrier containing formulations were separated from non-dissolved lactose carrier particles in a centrifuge (Rotana 3500, Hettich, Tuttlingen, Germany) during 5 min at 3000 rpm. Drug concentrations in the solutions were measured with a spectrophotometer (PU 8720 UV–VIS, Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) at wavelengths of 242.8 nm (budesonide) and 327.2 nm (dscg).

For the pressure drop measurements, differential pressure gauges of the type PD1 in combination with Measuring Converter MC2A (Hottiner Baldwin Messtechnik, Darmstadt, Germany) were used, having an output signal of 5000 mV corresponding with 100 kPa. The natural frequency of the diaphragms used for these gauges (1.6 kHz) is sufficient to monitor the relatively low frequency changes in the pressure drop during inhalation of a dose. For pressure drop reduction (equals residence time) experiments, the pressure drop values corresponding with a preset flow rate across an empty and loaded classifier were recorded subsequently as function of the inhalation time, using a Gould UV-recorder, type EasyGraf TA 240 (Gould Electronics, OH, USA) or TestPoint data acquisition soft ware (Keithley, Taunton, USA). (Partial) flow rates were measured with a Brooks thermal mass flow meter, type 5863S in combination with a Brooks readout panel type 0154 (Brooks Instruments, Veenendaal, The Netherlands).

### **3. Results and discussion**

## *3.1. Reduction of the classifier accumulation*

The basic classifier used for various formulation studies and its working principle has been described before ([de Boer et al.,](#page-8-0) [2003a\).](#page-8-0) As shown in Fig. 1A, the classifier consists of a cylindrical chamber with two tangential air supply channels and an aerosol discharge channel having the same longitudinal axis as the cylindrical chamber. The discharge channel protrudes from one cylindrical end of the chamber (depicted as a separate plate in Fig. 1A) in two directions. The projection into the chamber is to improve carrier retention (which enables carrier residue analysis in drug detachment studies); the projection into the mouthpiece cylinder completes the discharge channel of the classifier. One of the air supply channels of the classifier serves as a passageway for the powder dose (powder channel). Drawbacks and limitations of the basic concept in Fig. 1A include a high airflow resistance and substantial powder deposition in the classifier and the relatively long discharge channel. In addition to that, carrier retention in a patient inhaler is not wanted, because the classifier has to be emptied after each inhalation.

Drug accumulation onto the classifier walls is particularly noticeable when soft agglomerates (spherical pellet formulations) of small particles are dispersed. As shown in Fig. 2, particles larger than the cut-off diameter of the classifier (e.g. carrier size fractions  $63-106$  and  $106-150 \,\mu m$ ) are retained with 90% efficiency (or higher) over long inhalation times (up to 30 s). But particles  $1-8 \mu m$ , which are smaller than the cut-off diameter, are not discharged properly (only up to a maximum of



Fig. 1. (A) Basic classifier concept with two air channels of which one channel is the powder channel towards the classifier and (B) modified classifier with eight air channels (including the powder channel) creating an air barrier that prevents adhesion of fine particles to the cylindrical classifier wall.

approximately 40% after 30 s), which is the result of adhesion onto the classifier walls, unless some larger sweeper crystals are added to the formulation [\(de Boer et al., 2002\).](#page-8-0) This is a very effective solution for single-dose dpi's that are disposed after use (together with the retained sweeper particles in the classifier). It has been shown that approximately 15% (w/w) of coarse sweeper particles (in the approximate size range between 72 and  $250 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) in the powder is sufficient to reduce cyclone accumu-



Fig. 2. Percent discharge from a basic classifier (Fig. 1A) as function of the circulation time for different lactose size fractions at 30 l/min. Dose is 25 mg. Each data point is the mean of four experiments; spread bars indicate the maximum and minimum values obtained. For each circulation time, a new dose has been added to the classifier.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1



Airflow resistance of the classifier, and total losses of fine (disodium cromoglycate) particles by adhesion onto classifier walls (as percent of the real dose) for classifier chambers of the same dimensions, but with a different number of air supply chambers (including the powder channel)

Individual values are between parentheses ( $n = 4$  for the classifier with two channels;  $n = 2$  for both other classifiers).

lation to less than 5% of the total dose. However, for multi-dose inhalers, the addition of sweeper crystals is not acceptable, as this would require that retained coarse particles are removed after each inhalation. Therefore, a different solution to reduce classifier accumulation has been found. Interruptions of the cylindrical wall have been made as shown in [Fig. 1B](#page-2-0). Each of the interruptions in the classifier wall constitutes an air supply channel towards the classifier chamber, and the assembly of channels creates a so-called air barrier that keep particles with low inertia away from the remaining wall sections between these channels. Only larger particles (e.g. carrier crystals) have sufficient inertia to pass across the air barrier and to collide with the cylindrical wall sections. The air supply channels create regions of turbulent shear whereas the main stream along the classifier periphery is basically tangential. Table 1 shows that the air barrier is very effective in reducing the classifier accumulation; losses due to adhesion (for dscg) are diminished from 75% to only 3% when the number of air channels is increased from 2 to 8. This increase in the number of air channels also reduces the airflow resistance  $(R)$  of the classifier (Table 1). The interruptions in the cylindrical wall furthermore change the circulation pattern of coarse carrier crystals. The irregular (mostly tomahawk) shape of these particles gives rise to bounce in different directions after collision with the remainders of the cylindrical wall. Instead of circulating only tangentially (like the air), rebounding carrier crystals may pass the centre of the classifier chamber and enter the region directly underneath or even inside the classifier discharge channel. As a result of that, carrier retention is strongly reduced. In fact, it takes only approximately 1–3 s before all large carrier particles of a single dose are discharged completely from the classifier concept shown in [Fig. 1B](#page-2-0). This makes this classifier concept suitable for soft agglomerates as well as for adhesive

mixtures without having to empty the classifier after inhalation. The residence time for the carrier crystals strongly improves the drug redispersion from these adhesive mixtures compared to de-agglomeration principles with instantaneous discharge.

# *3.2. Control of the inhaler resistance and fine tuning of partial flow rates*

As can be concluded from Table 1, further reduction of the airflow resistance of the classifier may not be expected from further increasing the number of bypass channels. Besides, more than eight channels cannot be arranged around a classifier chamber of only 13 mm in diameter without substantially increasing the resistance of the channels themselves. Therefore, the principle of sheath flow has been applied. This principle includes that a local reduction of the diameter of the classifier top plate of the classifier ([Fig. 1A](#page-2-0)) creates a bypass for a part of the inspiratory flow. Alternatively, small orifices can be made at the circumference of the top plate, as shown in [Fig. 5. T](#page-5-0)he bypass reduces the total airflow resistance of the classifier and constitutes a co-axial sheath of clean air around the aerosol cloud from the classifier of which the relevance will explained more in detail in [Fig. 4.](#page-4-0) Table 2 shows the reduction in airflow resistance for the classifier concept in [Fig. 1B](#page-2-0) with different numbers of sheath flow orifices, each of the orifices having a cross-section for airflow of 1.75 mm2. For comparison, the resistances of two marketed dpi's are shown in Table 2. It has frequently been suggested that a low resistance is preferable (e.g. [Ashurst et al., 2000\),](#page-7-0) because a high resistance requires a higher effort to generate sufficient flow for powder dispersion ([Broeders et al., 2001\).](#page-7-0) This suggests that patients with reduced pulmonary function might be unable to operate high resistance devices successfully. This is

Table 2

Airflow resistance (*R*) of the classifier with eight air supply channels and a different number of sheath flow orifices (see text), in comparison with *R* of some marketed dpi's as well as their pressure drops corresponding with 60 (30) l/min

Number of sheath flow orifices	Airflow resistance $(kPa0.5 min l-1)$	Flow rate $(l/min)$	Corresponding pressure drop (kPa)
$\overline{0}$	0.041	60	6.05
3	0.035	60(30)	4.41(1.10)
6	0.029	60(30)	3.03(0.76)
9	0.026	60	2.44
14	0.023	60	1.90
Pharmachemie Cyclohaler	0.019	60	1.30
AstraZeneca Turbuhaler	0.043	60	6.66

<span id="page-4-0"></span>an oversimplification of the situation however. Successful operation of a dpi includes delivering a high portion of the drug to the lung with minimal drug deposition in the oropharynx [\(Zeng](#page-8-0) [et al., 2002\).](#page-8-0) If a high fpf is obtained from a high resistance device at a relatively low flow rate, there is a much better deposition in the target area (deep lung) than for the same fpf from a low resistance device at a much higher flow rate, as can be concluded from lung deposition modelling (e.g. [Gerrity, 1990\)](#page-8-0) and in vivo deposition studies (e.g. [Brand et al., 2000\).](#page-7-0) So, a choice has to be made on the fpf-flow rate profile, which is different for currently marketed devices (Steckel and Müller, [1997\).](#page-8-0) Another aspect to consider is the patients' preference for the resistance, which varies between studies (e.g. [Clark and](#page-7-0) [Hollingworth, 1993; Anderson and Hansen, 1993; de Boer et](#page-7-0) [al., 1996\).](#page-7-0) [Table 2](#page-3-0) shows that the resistance of a classifier can be controlled within a wide range of values simply by adding different amounts of sheath flow.

Fig. 3 shows that the performance of the classifier [\(Fig. 1B](#page-2-0)) on soft agglomerates (dscg) at 60 l/min is hardly influenced by the addition of sheath flow. The classifier used to produce Fig. 3 has a variable number of small orifices through which the sheath flow can be controlled, as depicted in [Fig. 5. T](#page-5-0)he maximum number of nine sheath flow orifices in Fig. 3 corresponds with a total crosssection for sheath flow of 15.75 mm<sup>2</sup>. The only noteworthy effect is a decrease in the fine particle losses in the induction port to the cascade impactor (from 21.5% without sheath flow to 16% with nine bypass orifices). The decrease is highly reproducible and can be well explained. There is momentum transfer from the aerosol from the inhaler's mouthpiece to the surrounding fluid in the induction port to the cascade impactor or in the patient's mouth [\(Perry and Chilton, 1973\).](#page-8-0) This causes entrainment of air from the mouthpiece region, which leads to a minor under pressure in this region. As a result, back flows are started from the periphery of the aerosol cloud to balance the pressure in the mouthpiece region with the pressure further downstream of the induction port (or mouth), as shown in Fig. 4A. These back flows increase the fine particle contact with the inner walls of the induction port (or the mouth), which is one of the reasons



Fig. 3. Performance of the classifier concept with eight air channels ([Fig. 1B](#page-2-0)) as function of the number of sheath flow orifices at 60 l/min (total flow rate) with soft agglomerates of disodium cromoglycate. Each data point is the mean of two series of five inhalations (3 mg dose). Stage  $3+4$  deposition corresponds with a fine particle dose  $\langle 8.74 \mu m$  (theoretically) for the impactor used. 'Classifier' refers to fine particle losses in the classifier.



Fig. 4. Schematic drawing showing back flows from the aerosol cloud (A), respectively from the sheath of clean air around the aerosol cloud (B) in the induction port to the cascade impactor (or the patient's mouth).

for high fine particle losses in this region. By creating a sheath of clean air around the aerosol cloud, the particle concentration in the zone from where the backflows are withdrawn is reduced (Fig. 4B).

Figs. 3 and 4 give a rough outline of the classifier improvement with respect to airflow resistance and sheath flow. It may be clear that further optimisation of the dimensions of various classifier parts within the final inhaler concept is necessary. Different modular inhaler concepts with exchangeable parts were used which enabled measurement of the pressure drop across each of the inhaler parts. Fine tuning was particularly focussed on obtaining a high and reproducible fine particle dose and has resulted in the currently applied classifier for generic formulations in the Viatris Novolizer®, which has an average airflow resistance of  $0.028$  kPa $^{0.5}$  min l<sup>-1</sup>.

## *3.3. Control of the powder circulation time in the classifier*

The classifier concept shown in [Fig. 1B](#page-2-0) can be used for the deagglomeration of both soft spherical agglomerates and adhesive mixtures. However, the circulation time for the carrier particles in this classifier cannot be controlled otherwise than by selection of the carrier size fraction. In a previous study, a high rate of drug particle detachment from carrier crystals has been observed within the first  $0.5$  s of circulation in a classifier [\(de Boer et al.,](#page-8-0) [2004a\).](#page-8-0) To obtain a high fine particle dose, the residence time for adhesive mixtures in the classifier should therefore be at least 0.5 s. It could be shown that detachment in the first 0.5 s is primarily for the largest primary drug particles and small drug agglomerates ([de Boer et al., 2004b\),](#page-8-0) but most of the agglomerates are broken up before they are discharged as primary entities (at flow rates of 30 l/min and higher). Elongation of the circulation time to a time period between 0.5 and 2 s is beneficial for two different reasons. The fraction of drug detached within the time period between 0.5 and 1.5 s from the start of the inhalation (by weakening of the adhesive forces in the mixture) may be between 50% and 100% of the fraction dislodged in the first 0.5 s. In addition to that, the size of detached particles decreases with increasing circulation time. Therefore, the delivered fpf after 2 s

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 5. Classifier for adhesive mixtures with four air channels (including the powder channel) having an octagonal shape with four long particle acceleration sides and four shorter impaction walls. Discharge channels with different diameters control the circulation (residence) time of the powder in the classifier chamber.

may be twice as high as that after 0.5 s, which endorses the desire to have a good control over the residence time in the classifier. An inhalation time of 2 s at 60 l/min equals an inhaled volume of 2 l, which leaves sufficient volume for particle transport into the deep lung. Much longer circulation times are not desired. So, controlled powder circulation during minimally 0.5 s and maximally 2 s is the aim.

Fig. 5 shows a basic concept of a classifier with controlled residence time. The classifier chamber is an octagon with sides of different lengths. Four longer sides are to accelerate carrier particles and four shorter sides are for impaction with the carrier particles. Different ratios for the length of the acceleration side to that of the adjacent impaction side have been tested as well as different angles between both sides and different numbers of air channels. The magnitude of the inertial separation forces depends on the (average) particle velocity in the classifier and the angle of collision. An angle of 90◦ causes highest impact (highest removal forces), but leaves practically zero residual velocity in the direction of the next impaction plate after collision. Because the dimensions of the classifier are too small to accelerate coarser carrier particles from zero to a stationary velocity between two collisions, the optimum has to be balanced between carrier particle diameter, angle of impact and residual particle velocity after collision. Fig. 5 also shows the classifier top plates with different diameters for the discharge channel. The diameter of the discharge channel controls the residence time of the dose in the classifier. By increasing the region in the classifier chamber from which the carrier particles can enter this channel, the rate of discharge can be increased.

## *3.4. Measurement of the powder circulation time in the classifier*

Different techniques have been developed and used to measure the residence time of the carrier particles (from a single dose) in the classifier. A high accuracy can be obtained from pressure drop measurements. Because of the highly turbulent

flow through the classifier, the pressure drop across this part of the inhaler determines largely the total pressure drop across the inhaler. When particles with much higher inertia than air are added to the classifier, the degree of turbulence and fluctuations is reduced, as can be concluded from studies using computational fluid dynamics (CFD). This also reduces the pressure drop, whereas the flow rate through the classifier is maintained at the preset value (this, because the resistance of the test inhaler is very low compared to the resistance of the total flow scheme, including a flow controller, an impactor and a filter system). This principle of pressure drop reduction is shown in [Fig. 6A](#page-6-0) for the same classifier (Fig. 5) with two different discharge channels (7 and 8 mm diameter, respectively). For this example, an adhesive mixture with Capsulac 60 as carrier and 2% budesonide has been used (flow rate: 60 l/min). For a better comparison, the pressure drops at the stationary flow rates through the empty classifier (open symbols) have been put to 100% (the actual values in kPa are different between the two classifier configurations). [Fig. 6A](#page-6-0) clearly shows that the period over which a reduction in pressure drop exists for the classifier with 7 mm discharge channel is longer than that for the classifier with 8 mm channel. For carrier fractions of the same size distribution, there appears to be a good linear relationship between the amount of particles in the classifier and the magnitude of the obtained reduction in pressure drop for classifier payloads of 3 mg and higher [\(Fig. 6B](#page-6-0)). The relationships shown in [Fig. 6B](#page-6-0) are also for Capsulac 60 with 2% budesonide. The linear relationships can be used to assess the amount of carrier particles in the classifier at any moment during inhalation. Such an assessment is made on the basis of the actual value of the pressure drop reduction as function of the inhalation time [\(Fig. 6C](#page-6-0), also for Capsulac 60 with 2% budesonide in different classifier concepts at 60 l/min). The curves presented in [Fig. 6C](#page-6-0) show the differences in loading rate (from the dose system) and discharge pattern from the classifier, which depend not only on the flow rate through the classifier, the dose weight (total classifier payload) and classifier design, but also on the size distribution of the carrier fraction used. The curves may exhibit different shapes (different discharge patterns) for different combinations, but they are highly reproducible for the same combination. This is shown in [Fig. 6A](#page-6-0) in which the spread bars are of the same size as the symbols used, except for a few data points. The residence time in a classifier is simply defined as the time necessary to achieve zero pressure drop reduction; for cyclone optimisation, also the discharge pattern has been taken into consideration however.

[Fig. 7](#page-6-0) presents the residence time as function of the mean fraction diameter for different carrier size fractions (from Pharmatose 100M) at three different flow rates. For these experiments, a classifier with 8 mm discharge channel (Fig. 5) has been used. The effect of flow rate increases with decreasing mean fraction diameter: for carrier particles above  $75 \mu m$ , the residence time becomes largely independent of carrier size and flow rate. This makes this type of classifier rather robust in this respect. Moreover, total discharge for larger particles is achieved within the desired range of inhalation times (0.5–2 s), which are also practicable times for the patient at these flow rates (30–90 l/min), resulting in inhaled volumes between 0.25 and 3 l. The effect of

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 6. Presentation of the pressure drop reduction method for measurement of the residence time of a dose in the classifier (for a budesonide mixture with Capsulac 60 carrier). (A) The pressure drop across the same classifier with and without a carrier dose of 11 mg for two different discharge channels (7 and 8 mm). The pressure drop (Pa) in the stationary (empty) situation has been put to 100%. (B) The percent pressure drop reduction of the classifier shown in [Fig. 1A](#page-2-0) (with 100% retention) as function of the classifier load. (C) The pressure drop reduction (Pa; compared with an empty classifier) as function of the inhalation time, from which the classifier discharge pattern can be derived.

flow rate on the residence time of fine particle fractions  $\left(\frac{5}{12} \mu \right)$ is partly the result of tribocharge. Tribocharge becomes more severe at higher flow rates and results in Coulombic attraction by the classifier walls. Therefore, the smaller the particles are, the less effective the drag force is in keeping the particles in circulation. The residence times for these finer fractions can be reduced by mixing them with a few percent of particles of a much large size  $(>150 \,\mu m)$ .

The residence time is not the only decisive parameter for the classifier choice however. The obtained fine particle fraction at



Fig. 7. Residence time for different carrier size fractions at three different flow rates, as function of the mean fraction diameter, using the classifier in [Fig. 5](#page-5-0) with 8 mm discharge channel and six sheath flow orifices. Each data point is the mean of three experiments; spread bars indicate the maximal and minimal values obtained.

the established residence time is even more important. This fpf  $(<8.74 \,\mathrm{\mu m})$  is shown in Fig. 8 as function of the residence time for a mixture of Capsulac 60 with 2% budesonide in different classifier concepts (of the type shown in [Fig. 5\),](#page-5-0) in combination with discharge channels of 6, 7 and 8 mm (at 60 l/min). The good correlation shows that optimisation between both parameters is very well possible. For this type of formulation, and the type of classifiers used, an fpf of 40% (of the real dose) can be achieved after 1.5 s circulation time in the classifier. The coarse carrier in this formulation has an  $X_{10}$  of 126.1  $\mu$ m,  $X_{50}$  of 233.5  $\mu$ m and  $X_{90} = 367.8 \mu m$ , respectively (mean of six different batches dispersed at 0.5 bar with RODOS). Because the classifiers used to prepare this figure were all of the same type, a wide range of fpf-values at the same circulation time has not been obtained. However, the figure clearly shows that a wide range of residence times can be obtained for the same formulation at the same flow rate. And by changing the classifier design (i.e. the deagglomeration efficacy), the discharge pattern (Fig. 6C) or the formulation (e.g. carrier type), the fpf at the same residence time (and the same flow rate) can be controlled over a wide range too.



Fig. 8. Fine particle fraction (fraction  $\langle 8.74 \,\mu m \rangle$  as percent of the real dose) as function of the carrier residence time in the classifier for a mixture of Capsulac 60 with 2% budesonide at 60 l/min. Different classifiers of the type presented in Fig. 7 have been used with different discharge channels. Each data point is the mean of two series of 10 inhalations.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 9. Classifier concept with integral powder channel in the Viatris Novolizer® for generic formulations (A) and the position of the classifier in this multi-dose dpi (B).

### *3.5. Incorporation of the classifier into an inhaler*

The currently applied classifier for generic formulations in the Viatris Novolizer<sup>®</sup> is shown in Fig. 9A. Basically, the design is the same as shown in [Fig. 1B](#page-2-0), only various dimensions have been modified compared to this concept [Fig. 1B](#page-2-0) of which the results are presented in [Fig. 3. T](#page-4-0)he powder channel has become an integral part of the classifier chamber. When attached to the inhaler (as shown in Fig. 9B), a depression at the rear end of this channel is facing the dose measuring slide underneath the powder bulk container. The depression is to prevent that powder slides towards the classifier when the inhaler is held oblique. Keeping the dose at the end of a relatively long powder channel maximises the velocity of the particles with which they enter the classifier. Classifiers with controlled residence time (to be used for adhesives with NCE's) are integrated in the inhaler design in exactly the same way as the classifier depicted in Fig. 9A. The classifier is positioned directly behind the front of the mouthpiece and its central axis is the same as that of the aerosol jet from the inhaler's mouthpiece. As a result of this arrangement, large (e.g. carrier) particles leaving the classifier with a high tangential velocity, are separated from the drug aerosol by centrifugal action as soon as they exit the discharge channel in the mouthpiece cylinder. Their inertia is too high to be stopped by the sheath flow. This causes these particles to deposit in the front of the mouth (instead of in the back of the throat) from where they can easily be removed by mouth rinsing. Rinsing eliminates the

occurrence of adverse local side effects, whereas the signalling function (confirming to the patient that a dose has been inhaled) is maintained.

#### **4. Conclusions**

Modifications in the geometry of the air classifier chamber and the application of certain design variations, such as the application of extra air supply channels and sheath flow, allow optimisation of the inhaler performance with respect to the generated fine particle fraction, inhaler losses and inhaler resistance. By optimising the residence time of the carrier particles (or coarse fragments of soft agglomerates) in the classifier, maximal use can be made of the available energy (within the inhaled air stream) for drug dispersion. Different classifier concepts can be applied, which enables achievement of maximal fine particle fractions from different formulation types (adhesive mixtures, nucleus agglomerates and soft agglomerates). For all types of formulations, the drug losses in the inhaler can be less than 5%, even when high fpf's of 50% (or more) are generated. For adhesive mixtures, the carrier can be selected from a wide range of size fractions (median diameter varying from less than 50  $\mu$ m to more than  $250 \mu m$ ). Coarse carriers may be beneficial to dose measuring and dose emission accuracy but they are known to reduce the fine particle fraction during inhalation. However, by optimising the circulation time in the classifier during inhalation, the fine particle fraction can be made widely independent on the carrier size fraction. By adding different amounts of sheath flow, the inhaler resistance to airflow can be controlled within a wide range of values, without affecting the inhaler performance dramatically. The sheath flow may also reduce the fine drug particle deposition in the mouth.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The authors are grateful to Mrs. Beekhuis for carefully screening the manuscript and to the university workshop of the Faculty of Medicine (University of Groningen) for preparing countless inhaler parts.

## **References**

- Amass, J.M., 1996. A study of drug carrier interactions in dry powder inhalers. Thesis. Dept. of Biol. & Chem. Sci., University of Essex, UK, pp. 170–189.
- Anderson, P.B., Hansen, N.C.G., 1993. Which magnitude of inhaler resistance against airflow is preferred by patients using dry powder devices? Eur. Respir. J. 6, 148S.
- Ashurst, I., Malton, A., Prime, D., Sumby, B., 2000. Latest advances in the development of dry powder inhalers. Pharm. Sci. Technol. Today 3, 246–256.
- Brand, P., Friemel, I., Meyer, T., Schulz, H., Heyder, J., Häußinger, K., 2000. Total deposition of therapeutic particles during spontaneous and controlled inhalations. J. Pharm. Sci. 89, 724–730.
- Broeders, M.E.A.C., Molema, J., Folgering, H.Th.M., 2001. Resistivities of placebo and active Diskus inhalers compared. Int. J. Pharm. 228, 219–222.
- Clark, A.R., Hollingworth, A.M., 1993. The relationship between powder inhaler resistance and peak inspiratory conditions in healthy volunteers – implications for in vitro testing. J. Aerosol Med. 6, 99–110.
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Corkery, K., 2000. Inhalable drugs for systemic delivery. Resp. Care 45, 831–835.
- Crowther Labiris, N.R., Holbrook, A.M., Chrystyn, H., Macleod, S.M., Newhouse, M.T., 1999. Dry powder versus intravenous and nebulized gentamicin in cystic fibrosis and bronchiectasis. Am. J. Respir. Care Med. 160, 1711–1716.
- de Boer, A.H., Hagedoorn, P., Gjaltema, D., Goede, J., Frijlink, H.W., 2003a. Air classifier technology (ACT) in dry powder inhalation. Part 1. Introduction of a novel force distribution concept (FDC) explaining the performance of a basic air classifier on adhesive mixtures. Int. J. Pharm. 260, 187–200.
- de Boer, A.H., Hagedoorn, P., Gjaltema, D., Goede, J., Kussendrager, K.D., Frijlink, H.W., 2003b. Air classifier technology (ACT) in dry powder inhalation. Part 2. The effect of lactose carrier surface properties on the drug-to-carrier interaction in adhesive mixtures for inhalation. Int. J. Pharm. 260, 201–216.
- de Boer, A.H., Hagedoorn, P., Gjaltema, D., Lambregts, D., Irngartinger, M., Frijlink, H.W., 2004a. The rate of drug particle detachment from carrier crystals in an air classifier-based inhaler. Pharm. Res. 21, 2158–2166.
- de Boer, A.H., Hagedoorn, P., Gjaltema, D., Lambregts, D., Irngartinger, M., Frijlink, H.W., 2004b. The mode of drug particle detachment from carrier crystals in an air classifier-based inhaler. Pharm. Res. 21, 2167–2174.
- de Boer, A.H., Le Brun, P.P.H., van der Woude, H.G., Hagedoorn, P., Heijerman, H.G.M., Frijlink, H.W., 2002. Dry powder inhalation of antibiotics in cystic fibrosis therapy. Part 1. Development of a powder formulation with colistin sulphate for a special test inhaler with an air classifier as de-agglomeration principle. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 54, 17–24.
- de Boer, A.H., Winter, H.M.I., Lerk, C.F., 1996. Inhalation characteristics and their effect on in vitro drug delivery from dry powder inhalers. Part 1. Inhalation characteristics, work of breathing and volunteers' preference in dependence of the inhaler resistance. Int. J. Pharm. 130, 231–244.
- Dickhoff, B.H.J., de Boer, A.H., Lambregts, D., Frijlink, H.W., 2003. The effect of carrier surface and bulk properties on drug particle detachment from crystalline lactose carrier particles during inhalation, as function of carrier payload and mixing. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 56, 291–302.
- Dickhoff, B.H.J., de Boer, A.H., Lambregts, D., Frijlink, H.W., 2004. The interaction between carrier rugosity and carrier payload, and its effect on drug particle redispersion from adhesive mixtures during inhalation. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 59, 197–205.
- Edwards, D.A., Ben-Jebria, A., Langer, R., 1998. Recent advances in pulmonary drug delivery using large porous particles. J. Appl. Physiol. 85, 379–385.
- Flament, M.-P., Leterme, P., Gayot, A., 2004. The influence of carrier roughness on adhesion, content uniformity and the in vitro deposition of terbutaline sulphate from dry powder inhalers. Int. J. Pharm. 275, 201–209.
- Gerrity, T.R., 1990. Pathophysiological and disease constraints on aerosol delivery. In: Byron, P.R. (Ed.), Respiratory Drug Delivery. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 1–38.
- Hallworth, G.W., Andrews, U.G., 1976. Size analysis of suspension inhalation aerosols by inertial separation methods. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 28, 898–907.
- Harjunen, P., Lehto, V.-P., Martimo, K., Suihko, E., Lankinen, T., Paronen, P., Järvinen, K., 2002. Lactose modifications enhance its drug performance in the novel multiple dose Taifun® DPI. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 16, 313–321.
- Le Brun, P.P.H., de Boer, A.H., Mannes, G.P.M., de Frâiture, D.M.I., Brimicombe, R.W., Touw, D.J., Vinks, A.A., Frijlink, H.W., Heijerman, H.G.M., 2002. Dry powder inhalation of antibiotics in cystic fibrosis therapy. Part

2. Inhalation of a novel colistin dry powder formulation: a feasibility study in healthy volunteers and patients. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 54, 25–32.

- LiCalsi, C., Christensen, T., Bennett, J.V., Phillips, E., Witham, C., 1999. Dry powder inhalation as a potential delivery method for vaccines. Vaccine 17, 1796–1803.
- Louey, M.D., Razia, S., Stewart, P.J., 2003. Influence of physico-chemical carrier properties on the in vitro aerosol deposition from interactive mixtures. Int. J. Pharm. 252, 87–98.
- Meakin, B.J., Ganderton, D., Panza, I., Ventura, P., 1998. The effect of flow rate on drug delivery from the Pulvinal, a high resistance dry powder inhaler. J. Aerosol Med. 11, 143–152.
- Newhouse, M.T., Hirst, P.H., Duddu, S.P., Walter, Y.H., Tarara, T.E., Clark, A.R., Weers, J.G., 2003. Inhalation of a dry powder tobramycin Pulmo-Sphere formulation in healthy volunteers. Chest 124, 360–366.
- Newman, S., Malik, S., Hirst, P., Pitcairn, G., Heide, A., Pabst, J., Dinkelaker, A., Fleischer, W., 2002. Lung deposition of salbutamol in healthy human subjects from the MAGhaler dry powder inhaler. Resp. Med. 96, 1026–1032.
- Parry-Billings, M., Boyes, R.N., Clisby, L.M., Braithwate, P., Williams, S., Harper, 2000. Design, development and performance of a multi-dose dry powder inhaler. Pharm. Technol. Eur., 38–45.
- Patton, J.S., 1996. Mechanisms of macromolecule absorption by the lungs. Adv. Drug Del. Rev. 19, 3–36.
- Patton, J.S., Bukar, J.G., Eldon, M.A., 2004. Clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of inhaled insulin. Clin. Pharmacokinet. 43, 781–801.
- Perry, R.H., Chilton, C.H., 1973. Chemical Engineers' Handbook, 5th ed. McGraw-Hill Kogakusha, Tokyo, pp. 18–20 (Chapter 5).
- Pohunek, P., Matulka, M., Rybnicek, O., Kopriva, F., Honomichlova, H., Svobodova, T., 2004. Dose-related efficacy and safety of formoterol (Oxis) Turbuhaler compared with salmeterol Diskhaler in children with asthma. Pedriat. Allergy Immunol. 15, 32–39.
- Schiavone, H., Palakodaty, S., Clark, A., York, P., Tzannis, S.T., 2004. Evaluation of SCF-engineered particle-based lactose blends in passive dry powder inhalers. Int. J. Pharm. 281, 55–66.
- Silvasti, M., Sormunen, H., Laurikainen, K., Lähelmä, S., Toivanen, P., 1996. Easyhaler<sup>®</sup>, a novel multi-dose powder inhaler – comparison with metered dose inhaler. Drug Today 32, 353–363.
- Skyepharma, 2001. Internet site: [http://www.skyepharma.com/pdf/](http://www.skyepharma.com/pdf/webdpi0901.pdf) webDPI0901.pdf.
- Staniforth, J.N., 1986. Order out of chaos. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 39, 329–334.
- Steckel, H., Müller, H.W., 1997. In vitro evaluation of dry powder inhalers. I. Drug deposition of commonly used devices. Int. J. Pharm. 154, 19–29.
- Venthoye, G., Weers, J., Tarara, T., 2001. PulmoSphere® particle engineeringtechnology development to pilot scale and commercial viability. In: Proceedings on Drug Delivery to the Lungs, vol. XII, London, pp. 50–53.
- Voss, A., Finlay, W.H., 2002. De-agglomeration of dry powder pharmaceutical aerosols. Int. J. Pharm. 248, 39–50.
- Wang, Z., Lange, C.F., Finlay, W.H., 2004. Use of an impinging jet for dispersion of dry powder inhalation aerosols. Int. J. Pharm. 275, 123–131.
- Wetterlin, K., 1988. Turbuhaler: a new powder inhaler for administration of drugs to the airways. Pharm. Res. 5, 506–508.
- Yang, T.T., Li, S., Wyka, B., Kenyon, D., 2001. Drug delivery performance of the mometasone furoate dry powder inhaler. J. Aerosol Med. 4, 487–494.
- Zeng, X.M., O'Leary, D., Phelan, M., Jones, S., Colledge, J., 2002. Delivery of salbutamol and of budesonide from a novel multi-dose inhaler AirmaxTM. Resp. Med. 96, 404–411.